

2020 DRAFT SW/NW/NE/SE MARINE PLANS' – Monitoring Surveys – RESPONSE BY HISTORIC ENGLAND

QUESTION	South West	North West	North East	South East
<p>Q1 We would like to know who is responding to the survey and what your role is. I am responding to this survey as: Please select all that apply.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A decision-making authority (e.g. Local Planning Authority) • An applicant (e.g. for a Marine Licence) • An advisory body (e.g. statutory or non-statutory consultee) • Another stakeholder type (e.g. recreation organisation or individual) 	An advisory body			
<p>Q2 Job title or position held</p>	Submission made by Head of Marine Planning (Historic England)			
<p>Q3 How would you rate your level of awareness of the Draft [SW/NW/NE/SE] Marine Plan?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High - involved in its development and use it regularly • Medium - use it regularly • Low - know about it but don't use it • No awareness of it 	Medium	Low	Medium	Low
<p>Q4 Over the last 12 months, has your organisation used the Draft [SW/NW/NE/SE] Marine Plan when making any decisions? Note: 'Decisions' includes authorisation and enforcement decisions, which normally refer to something that can be applied for, such as a marine licence, and also other kinds of decisions, for example, commenting on a marine licence application or developing a local plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No 	Yes			
<p>Q5 In the last 12 months, how often has your organisation referred to policies in the Draft [SW/NW/NE/SE] Marine Plan when making the following kinds of decisions: Note: '<u>Authorisation and enforcement decisions</u>' typically refer to something that can be applied for, such as a marine licence (as referenced in Section 58(1) of the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009). '<u>Other decisions</u>' includes all non-authorisation and non-enforcement decisions; for example, decisions taken when developing a local minerals and aggregates plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In all cases • In the majority of cases • In some cases • Very rarely • Never • Not applicable - don't make those decisions • Don't know 	Other decision – 'in some cases only'			

<p>Q6 Which policy topics in the Draft [SW/NW/NE/SE] Marine Plan did you consider when making the decisions referenced in the previous questions? Please select all that apply. Based on your selection, you will be asked about specific policies in the next question. <i>All policies listed</i></p>	<p>Cumulative effects; heritage assets; public access; seascape</p>	<p>heritage assets</p>
<p>Q7 For the topics you selected, did you consider the following policies? Please provide a response for each policy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • Don't know <p><i>All policies listed</i></p>	<p>Only one = 'yes' XX-HER-1 (Heritage assets) Only one – 'Don't know' XX-SCP-1 (Seascape). All the rest = 'no'</p>	
<p>Q8 If possible, please provide examples of how and/or why you considered specific marine plan policies when making decisions: <i>Free text</i></p>	<p>Historic England will give specific attention to cultural heritage and seascape policies. It might be the case that in specific circumstances other policies are considered.</p>	
<p>Q9 Over the last 12 months, how much do you agree that you have seen an improved consideration of the following factors in decision-making as a result of the Draft [SW/NW/NE/SE] Marine Plan? Note: 'Decision-making' includes authorisation and enforcement decisions, which normally refer to something that can be applied for, such as a marine licence, and also other kinds of decisions, for example, commenting on a marine licence application or developing a local plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly agree • Moderately agree • Moderately disagree • Strongly disagree • Don't know <p>a) Potential to develop skills related to marine activities b) Local strategies that emphasise development of skills related to marine activities c) Opportunities for a net increase in marine-related employment (particularly in line with skills available in the north east marine plan areas, and adjacent areas) d) Climate change adaptation measures (developments or activities that reduce or protect against impacts of climate change) e) Air quality and emissions of greenhouse gases and air pollutants f) Proposals incorporating features that enhance biodiversity or geological interest g) Demonstration of enhanced public access to and within the marine area h) Support for the development of supply chains within the marine renewable energy sector i) Alignment of marine plans and the terrestrial planning system</p>	<p>(d), (e) & (i) - There is an improved consideration of these factors in plan-making, but probably not as a result of the Draft SW Marine Plan. Other factors could be declaration of a climate emergency by the Government and in particular by local authorities which have led to various local responses, e.g. strategies with action plans, reviews of development plans/preparation of new development plan documents</p>	<p>(a) – (i) = 'Don't know'</p>

<p>Q10 If you are able to expand on your responses to the question above, please provide examples of how consideration of these factors has improved: <i>Free text</i></p>	No comment
<p>Q11 The following questions are about public access. In the last 12 months, have you observed changes to public access to, and within, the draft [SW/NW/NE/SE] marine plan areas? Note: 'Public access' refers to the provision of features such as footpaths and slipways that enable people to reach the coast and/or sea.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public access has improved • Public access has deteriorated • No change observed • Don't know 	Don't know
<p>Q12 In the last 12 months, how frequently did you consider public access policy NE-ACC-1 when making decisions?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In all cases • In the majority of cases • In some cases • In very few cases • Never • Not applicable • Don't know 	Never
<p>Q13 If you are able to expand on your responses to the two previous questions, please provide examples of how public access has changed, and/or how the Draft North East Marine Plan policy was considered: <i>Free text</i></p>	No comment
<p>Q14, 15 & 16 are only shown if respondents select 'Decision-maker' or 'Applicant' in Q1.</p>	N/A
<p>Q17 In your opinion, how has the Draft [SW/NW/NE/SE] Marine Plan affected your activities, infrastructure or organisation's ability to deal with future challenges, such as potential impacts of climate change or increasing competition for marine resources? Note: this question includes professional and recreational activities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better placed • Worse placed • No difference • Don't know 	No difference

<p>Q18 Where possible, please give examples to support your response to the previous question: <i>Free text</i></p>	No comment
<p>Q19 This section is concerned with how marine plan policies have been considered in proposals to a formal decision-making process (such as a marine licence application), in the last 12 months. Has your organisation been involved in developing and/or submitting a proposal to a formal decision-making process within the [SW/NW/NE/SE] marine plan areas?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes - Please state in what capacity (i.e. applicant, consultant, advisory body) • No 	No
<p>Q20 & 23 is only shown if respondents select 'Yes' in Q19. Q21 is only shown if respondents select either of the 'Yes' options in Q20. Q22 is only shown if respondents select either of the 'Yes' options in Q20. Q24, 25 & 26 is only shown if respondents select 'Yes' in Q23.</p>	N/A
<p>Q27 This section is about water quality. In the past 12 months, have you seen any changes in water quality in the north east marine plan areas? Examples of observed changes in water quality could be increased or decreased levels of silt or chemicals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water quality has improved • Water quality has deteriorated • No change observed • Water quality is not something my organisation is aware of 	Water quality is not something my organisation is aware of
<p>Q28 Have new activities (e.g. fishing or recreational activities) and/or infrastructure (for example, a fish farm or new marina) had an impact on water quality in the north east marine plan areas?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • Don't know 	Don't know
<p>Q29 & 30 are only shown if respondents indicate that they have seen a change in water quality in Q27 AND they select either 'Decision-maker' or 'Applicant' in Q1.</p>	N/A
<p>Q31 In the last 12 months, have you seen an increase in the number of proposals that have provided opportunities for co-existence? Note: Co-existence is where multiple developments, activities or uses occur alongside or in close proximity to each other in the same area, or at the same time.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • Don't know 	Don't know

Q32 is only shown if respondents select 'Decision-maker' in Q1	N/A
Q33 Are you a port or harbour representative? Note: This includes responding on behalf of organisations that represent ports or harbours, and port or harbour users. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No 	No
Q34, 35 & 36 is only shown if respondents select 'Yes' in Q33.	N/A
Q37 & 38 is only shown if respondents select 'Applicant' in Q1.	N/A
Q39 How did you find out about this survey?	Email notification
Q40 Finally, as part of our marine plan monitoring work we may want to get in touch with you. Do you give your permission to be contacted in the following instances? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No 	yes
Q41 will only be shown if respondents select 'No awareness of it' in Q3	N/A
Q42 Please provide your preferred contact details below:	Details provided

NOTES:

Table compiled by Christopher Pater (Head of Marine Planning, Regions Group), dated 15th December 2020